

# Payroll and Your Modifier

Leif Summerhays  
Vice President of Underwriting  
Workers Compensation Fund



1

## Agenda

- How Experience Mods Work
- Expected Losses and Payroll
- Weighting/Stabilizing Values
- How Payroll Influences Rates
- Auditing Payrolls



2

## About Emods

- Produced and calculated by the National Council on Compensation Insurance (NCCI, Inc.)
- It is mandatory for carriers to use Emod
- Commonly referred to as Emod, XMod, EMR, Experience Modifier, Mod
- Uses past payroll and losses to predict future losses
- Tailors premium to policy holder's experience

WCF

3

## Why have an Experience Rating (EMOD)?

- It is a refinement to your Manual Premium
  - Modifies risks that are grouped together by classification
    - Class rate is based on average conditions of that type of operation or classification
    - Emod analyses the individual risk, based on their payroll and losses
- Benefits employers by giving them the opportunity to manage their own premium costs
  - Incentive for employers to develop loss prevention programs and safety programs in order to control claims

WCF

4

## Emods Modify Premium

- Credit mod = lower than 1.00
  - Example, 0.75
- Debit mod = higher than 1.00
  - Example, 1.25

Manual Premium		Emod		Modified Premium
\$10,000	X	.75	=	\$7,500
\$10,000	X	1.00	=	\$10,000
\$10,000	X	1.25	=	\$12,500

WCF

5

## Emod Qualifications

- Premium Qualifications
  - \$7,000 Subject Premium in one year  
or...
  - \$3,500 Average Annual Subject premium for two years of the last three most recent years.
  - Premium Qualifications are set by each state
- Time Qualification
  - Three years of experience, starting two years before the current rating effective date

WCF

6

# Reading your Emod Worksheet

- Insured's basic information
  - Name, Risk ID number, Rating Eff. Date
- Organized by following sections for each year
  - Left side (Green)
    - Class Codes, Ratios, Payroll
    - **Expected Losses**
  - Right side (Blue)
    - Actual Claims
    - **Actual Losses**

WCF

7

Mod Analysis for Utah Museum of Emod

## Workers' Compensation Experience Rating Worksheet

Effective Date: 4/1/2014

Risk ID:

State: UT

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
CODE	ELR	D-RATIO	PAYROLL	EXPECTED LOSSES	EXP. PRIM. LOSSES	CLAIMDATA ID	IJ	OF	ACT. INC. LOSSES	ACT. PRIM. LOSSES	
****Utah											
Policy Period: 4/1/2010 to 4/1/2011				Policy #:							
8810	0.06	0.39	450,000	270	105	201045678		5	F	62,997	10,000
9101	0.99	0.43	85,000	842	362	3 Sm. losses		6	*	1,200	1,200
						201012345		9	F	22,616	10,000
Policy Period Totals			535,000	1,112	467				86,813	21,200	
Policy Period: 4/1/2011 to 4/1/2012				Policy #:							
8810	0.06	0.39	500,000	300	117	201154986		5	F	15,000	10,000
9101	0.99	0.43	90,000	891	383	201145684		5	F	37,000	10,000
						4 Sm. losses		6	*	1,600	1,600
						201112345		9	F	26,640	10,000
						201112346		9	F	62,449	10,000
Policy Period Totals			590,000	1,191	500				142,689	41,600	
Policy Period: 4/1/2012 to 4/1/2013				Policy #:							
8810	0.06	0.39	525,000	315	123	2012153153		5	F	12,500	10,000
9101	0.99	0.43	82,000	812	349	3 Sm. losses		6	*	900	900
Policy Period Totals			607,000	1,127	472				13,400	10,900	

WCF

8

## Actual Emod Calculation

$$\frac{\text{Act Prim} + (((\text{Payroll} \times \text{ELR})/100) \times (1-\text{Weight}) + \text{Tabular Ballast}) + (\text{Weight} \times (\text{Act Incurred} - \text{Act Prim}))}{(((\text{Payroll} \times \text{ELR})/100) \times \text{D-Ratio}) + (((\text{Payroll} \times \text{ELR})/100) \times (1-\text{Weight}) + \text{Tabular Ballast}) + (\text{Weight} \times (((\text{Payroll} \times \text{ELR})/100) - ((\text{Payroll} \times \text{ELR})/100 \times \text{D-Ratio})))} = \text{Emod}$$

WCF

9

## Simplified Emod Calculation

$$\frac{(A) \text{ Actual Losses}}{(E) \text{ Expected Losses}} = (M) \text{ Emod}$$

A = Actual losses incurred during the experience period

E = Expected losses based on payroll during the same period

WCF

10

## Expected Losses



11

## Expected Losses

- Calculated for each class code
  - Two tiers
    - Expected losses
    - Expected Primary losses



12

## Expected Losses

- Computed using the ELR (expected loss rate)
- ELR is the estimate of claims costs per \$100 payroll
- Example for class code 9101-College All Other
  - Payroll / \$100 x ELR = Expected Losses
    - \$85,000 / 100 x .99 = \$842

WCF

13

## Expected Primary Losses

- Portion of expected losses that is projected to be primary
- Example for class 9101-College All Other
  - Exp Losses x D-Ratio = Exp Prim Losses
    - \$842 x 0.43 = \$362

WCF

14

## Workers' Compensation Experience Rating Worksheet

Effective Date: 4/1/2014

Risk ID:

State: UT

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
CODE	ELR	D - RATIO	PAYROLL	EXPECTED LOSSES	EXP. PRIM. LOSSES	CLAIMDATA # ID	IJ	OF	ACT. INC. LOSSES	ACT. PRIM. LOSSES

\*\*\*\*Utah

Policy Period: 4/1/2010 to 4/1/2011				Policy #:							
8810	0.06	0.39	450,000	270	105	201045678	5	F	62,997	10,000	
9101	0.99	0.43	85,000	842	362	3 Sm. losses	6	*	1,200	1,200	
									22,616	10,000	
									86,813	21,200	

ELR x Payroll = Exp				Exp x D-ratio = Exp Prim						
5,000 1,112				86,813 21,200						

ELR x Payroll = Exp

Exp x D-ratio = Exp Prim

Policy Period:			4/1/2011 to 4/1/2012		Policy #:							
8810	0.06	0.39	500,000	300	117	201154986	5	F	15,000	10,000		
9101	0.99	0.43	90,000	891	383	201145684	5	F	37,000	10,000		
						4 Sm. losses	6	*	1,600	1,600		
						201112345	9	F	26,640	10,000		
						201112346	9	F	62,449	10,000		
Policy Period Totals			590,000	1,191	500				142,689	41,600		

Policy Period: 4/1/2012 to 4/1/2013				Policy #:							
8810	0.06	0.39	525,000	315	123	2012153153	5	F	12,500	10,000	
9101	0.99	0.43	82,000	812	349	3 Sm. losses	6	*	900	900	
Policy Period Totals			607,000	1,127	472				13,400	10,900	

WCF

15

## Two Key Calculations

- Calculations based on NCCI Actuarial data
  - Weighting Value
  - Stabilizing Value

WCF

16



## Weighting Value

- Positively correlated to payroll
    - More Payroll = Larger Weighting Value
- Excess losses are limited by the Weighting Value
- $EL \times W = \text{Ratable Excess}$

WCF

17

## Stabilizing Value

- Negatively correlated to payroll
    - More Payroll = Smaller Stabilizing Value
- (Or, the more payroll the more credible the data)
- Makes the Emod more stabile
    - Less volatile, smaller swings

WCF

18

# Mod Analysis for Utah Museum of Emod

<div>0.05</div> <div>"W" VALUE</div> <div>A</div>	B	(D) - (E)			(H) - (I)				
		1,991	3,430	1,439	169,202	13,375	240,312	71,110	
		EXPECTED EXCESS	TOTAL EXPECTED	TOTAL EXP. PRIM.	ACTUAL EXCESS	"B" VALUE	TOTAL ACTUAL	TOTAL ACT. PRIM.	
		C	D	E	F	G	H	I	
<div># Limited loss.</div> <div># Subrogation</div> <div>or other special loss.</div>	16	Experience Modification Calculation	11	12	13	14	15		
		PRIMARY LOSSES		STABILIZING VALUE	RATABLE EXCESS	ADJUSTED TOTALS	EXP MOD		
		ACTUAL	(I)	(C) X (1 - A) + (G)	(A) X (F)		(J) / (K)		
			71,110	15,266	8,460	94,837			
		EXPECTED	(E)	(C) X (1 - A) + (G)	(A) X (C)				
<div>ARAP</div> <div>1.00</div> <div>if applicable</div>	16		1,439	15,266	100	16,805			

\* Rating reflects a decrease of 70 percent medical-only primary and excess loss dollars where ERA is applied, reflected only in totals (F), (H), and (I).

Weighting Value (Blue)

Stabilizing Value (Green)

WCF

19

Minimum Mod	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A short-cut to finding the lowest possible mod an organization have               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Set actual losses to \$0</li> <li>Divide the Stabilizing Value by Total Expected Losses</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	

20



## Payroll Audits

- Code employee payroll by their duties
  - Generally an employee only has one class code
    - Clerical payroll cannot be split with other codes
    - Payroll can be split between other classifications if accurate payroll records are kept
- Give the auditor detailed descriptions of job duties so they can properly assign classification
  - IT employee who installs equipment

WCF

23

## Questions

- Open for floor questions???

WCF

24

**The End**

**WCF**